

Another War has been Started



by Myles Mathis

October 9, 2024

[Added December 22: So it didn't take Google long to come up with a response to this paper. [A few days ago they announced](#) that their quantum computer Willow was faster than the previous fastest computer Frontier by $10^{25}x$. They brag that if Frontier had started computing on day one of the universe, it would still have no solution. But that is actually a big understatement. The universe is allegedly only 13 billion years old, which is 10^{10} . Willow solved in 5 minutes, so we need to look at the age of the universe in minutes, which gives us five more zeroes, or 10^{15} . So they are claiming Willow is 10^{10} ages of the universe faster than Frontier. How do I know they just made this up? I know because they can't measure time with that accuracy. To compare the two computers, they would start them on the same problem and look how much of it Frontier had solved when Willow solved at five minutes. So we have to *divide* 5 minutes by 10^{25} . Impossible, since we have no machine that can measure septillionths of a second. The fastest clock is based on the oscillation of the caesium atom, which is 10^{-10} seconds. So this clock is ten billion times too slow to measure Frontier's progress after five minutes.

To say it another way, Frontier would do ten septillion *fewer* computations than Willow after five minutes. So we are going down rather than up with our math. But our math and machines can't go down that far, with time or anything else, so when Willow finished, all our machines monitoring Frontier would still read zero. So maybe the problem is they forgot to turn it on. Willow is actually five minutes faster than a computer that isn't plugged in, and the rest of this is the usual Phoenician bluff.

That said, I encourage you to [watch the announcement of this bluff](#) from freakazoid Julian Kelly, Google's director of hardware. He comes off as some sort of AI creation himself, and you have to look closely to be sure he is human. I am still not convinced he is. He has all the animation of a Westworld android, reading in a squeaky drone from an earpiece. He doesn't seem stoned, but he impresses me as someone zonked on some drug cocktail, though I am the wrong one to guess what it might be. I don't

even take aspirin. For older people like me who grew up in the real world, he is surpassingly scary to listen to, not even taking into account what he is saying. He could be telling me the time and I wouldn't believe him, and I would have to run home and take a shower to get his dark vibe off me.

Kelly allegedly comes out of the physics department at UC Santa Barbara, so I would like to remind him of something: speed of computation is not our problem in physics, or any other field. Computers were way faster than they needed to be decades ago, because there are no real problems that require such speed. Physics hasn't crashed and burned in the past fifty years because computers were too slow or stupid, it crashed because humans don't have any good ideas to feed into them. I have personally solved many of the most intractable problems of physics in the past twenty years, debugging centuries of mistakes, and I did that without once using a computer, or often even a calculator. I used a pencil and a notepad and my slow human brain, which is lucky to do one calculation per second. But mainstream science has continued to ignore me, refusing to feed my new discoveries into their machines, guaranteeing that all progress will continue to be stalled.

But this doesn't concern Kelly or his programmers, who don't care about that. What they care about is profiting obscenely from new fake vaporware, by scamming the taxpayer for the septillionth time. This isn't about science, this is about the crooks crouching behind Blackrock continuing to take over the world and sweep all available money into their own pile.]

[**Added June 2, 2025:** Didn't take long for me to be proved right once again, [since this week](#) Builder.ai, an AI startup backed by Microsoft, just filed for bankruptcy.

Valued at \$1.5 billion after a \$445 million investment by Microsoft, the company claimed to leverage artificial intelligence to generate custom apps in 'days or weeks,' which would produce functional code that had less human involvement.

Now they've gone cloth-off... as Bloomberg reports they had a 'fake it till you make it' strategy while having inflated 2024 revenue projections by 300%. Instead of AI, the company was actually using a fleet of more than 700 Indian engineers from social media startup VerSe Innovation for years to actually write the code.



That says it all. It also tends to confirm my feeling Chat and other AI is a parlor trick, and that you are actually talking to those 700 Indian engineers when you think you are talking to a computer. You will say the speed belies that, but all they have to do is load a bunch of preset answers to common questions, then stall if you ask them something off-shell. In my experience, that is exactly what we see. Like everything else, AI is a total fraud.]

So yesterday (October 8, aces and eights) was the announcement of the Nobel Prize in Physics, which, cleverly enough, didn't go to physics or physicists. . . I guess proving that physics is dead. Since physics is dead and all physicists are zombies, the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences had to give the physics prize to two computer “scientists”, John Hopfield and Geoffrey Hinton. Funny, since I just outed Hinton last year, [in this paper](#) showing quantum computing is another hoax. I will prove it again here.

Hinton actually has no science degrees at all, not in physics or anything else. He has never studied it, as far as we know. His degree was in [experimental psychology](#)—like many other agents. It might as well have been a degree in Mesmerism. We are told he then got a PhD in Artificial Intelligence, but that makes no sense. His resume lists no masters in anything, and you can't enter a PhD program in artificial intelligence without *at least* a bachelors in computer science. Or you can't unless you are an MI6 brat. You don't go straight from a bachelor of arts in psychology to a PhD in artificial intelligence, telling us his resume is the usual fake.

As I say in that paper

So catalog that: all this AI hooey came out of military intelligence and the psychology departments. It is part of psychological warfare.

It is also more treasury theft and pump-and-dump stock market theft, since quantum computers are just vaporware being illegally funded by your fake “representatives” via your captured taxdollars. Without that subsidizing, quantum computing would have long since gone bankrupt, since the field as a whole is billions of dollars in the red. And it is also the usual stock market theft, using SPACs to walk around rules against putting up companies with fake products on the ticker.

If you aren't following me, [you can consult Sabine Hossenfelder's recent video for dummies](#) on quantum computing, which spells it out pretty fast. She is mostly right on this one, for a change. She doesn't tell you why she is so pissed there, blowing the cover of this, but I think it is because she is angry about the Nobel Prize. She must have known weeks ago who it was going to. So although she doesn't tell you the punchline, I will: the PUMP in his current pump and dump is being supplied from Sweden. Sabine admits these schemes require a major source of promotion, and Hinton has been getting that from Google and the mainstream for several years. But they needed something with even greater sparklies attached to it in the public eye, so someone ordered Sweden to play along. The NOBEL is the PUMP. NOBEL=PUMP.

So the Royal Swedish Academy may as well just merge with Google, MI6, and Burson-Marsteller, and for all we know they already have.

Before I move on, I should hit John Hopfield briefly as well. He was included to give this a bit of ballast, since at least he has a physics degree. He is also Jewish and is now 91, having been working on this crap since the 1950s.

His doctoral dissertation [1958] was titled "A quantum-mechanical theory of the contribution of **excitons** to the complex **dielectric constant** of crystals".[6]

That tells us all, by itself. Why? **Because excitons also do not exist.** They never have and don't now and never will, and you can get that just by taking that link at Wikipedia, where the first paragraph is as follows:

An electron and an electron hole that are attracted to each other by the Coulomb force can form a bound state called an exciton. It is an electrically neutral quasiparticle that exists mainly in condensed matter, including insulators, semiconductors, some metals, but also in certain atoms, molecules and liquids. The exciton is regarded as an elementary excitation that can transport energy without transporting net electric charge.

There is also no such beast as an electron hole: they just made that up, too, to fill holes in their equations and theory. They admit that with the word *quasiparticle*, which is not a real particle.

It is a concept used to describe a collective behavior of a group of particles that can be treated as if they were a single particle.

It is a concept, not a particle, which means these fakers just dreamed it up. As such, it cannot "exist in condensed matter". It can only exist on a blackboard. Also note the part about the "exciton transporting energy without transporting charge". Again impossible, though it tells you why they invented it. All real particles are charged in some way, since all particles larger than photons are recycling photons. And even photons are charged, since they are spinning and spin creates charge or charge energy. Charge is a sea of real moving and spinning photons and they are everywhere, even in a vacuum. You cannot create a charge vacuum. But because they didn't know that in 1958 (and still don't know it) they can't explain energy transfer in condensed matter, or any other matter. I have hit this in three seminal papers: the [one on Drude-Sommerfeld](#), which has been superviral for years; the [one on Anderson Localization](#), ditto; and the more recent [one on the breakdown of Fourier's Law](#).

Anyway, because they got in over their head with these questions decades ago, due to [not understanding that the nucleus recycled charge](#), they had to come up with these big fudges using fake particles, pretend fields, and manufactured events. Once they got started they found they couldn't stop, and all of solid state (and most of the rest of physics) is now a Dungeons and Dragons world of complete fiction. Only in that dreamland could they even think of passing off all this quantum computing fluff as real, since qubits and neural networks are just more of the same quasiparticle, virtual world schist. The idea they could get any of this nightmare up on the stock exchange is mind-blowing, since there are supposed to be checks to prevent just that. Any regulator should be able to spot it immediately, since the theorists admit it isn't real with their vocabulary: *quasi*, *virtual*, *electron hole*, and so on. Should you be able to sell holes as an investment on the stock exchange? No, but they are.

What is most amazing is how brazen this is, not only for that conjob, but for this use of the Nobel. Did they think no one would notice that the Nobel is being used to promote quantum computers? It would be like awarding the Nobel Peace Prize this year to Kamala Harris, one month before the election. As I wrote that with a smile, my smile suddenly drooped, and I thought I better check to be sure that hadn't actually happened. Well, not yet anyway, since the announcement isn't until **October 11, aces and eights**. Day after tomorrow. However, I was shocked to discover two similar names on the list of nominees: Donald Trump and Elon Musk. What? Trump is nominated for his role in the Abraham Accords of 2020, so I don't see that happening. Musk is nominated for his anti-censorship work at

Twitter. Again, I don't see that happening, but what do I know? I would have thought this physics award going to quantum computing would be too sickeningly transparent, but I was wrong, wasn't I? Nothing is now too sickeningly transparent for these people. You are living in the Matrix and these bozos don't care how much you notice it flickering or repeating or looping.

Sabine hedges by saying quantum computers may eventually produce a product and a profit, but I offer no such hedge, since I don't believe quantum computers exist or can exist. A quantum computer allegedly works by using quantum tricks like entanglement and quantum objects called qubits, and **those things definitely do not exist**. So although computers will get faster, it won't have anything to do with quantum tricks like tunneling, neural networks, entanglement, phonons, vacuum forces, teleportation, negative time, or any of the rest of that malarky. That is all just a circus barker's sales pitch, and Hinton might as well be wearing a striped suit and a yellow bowtie.

But on second thought, I am actually giddy about this announcement, and with a little bit of pondering no doubt you can see why. Physicists and astronomers have long been on the edge of revolt, for any number of reasons I have spelled out in the past, not the least of which is that they could tell even before I arrived that their fields had been taken over by pretenders. In the past twenty years I have helped throw that revolt into high gear, and this announcement yesterday will just be like more gasoline on the fire. The Nobel committee has basically just touched off a war between the physics/astronomy department and the computer science department, which will lead to one of two things: either the computer department (backed by Intel) will further buy out the physics/astronomy department, burying it faster and deeper; or the physics/astronomy department will wake up and do as much damage as it can on the way out, creating some real problems for its masters. Either result is good for me.

Physicists shouldn't just be boiling mad, they should be very very worried, because this is indication the financiers and their tool the CIA are abandoning physics/astronomy. Since the Manhattan Project the financiers have used theoretical physics to front their biggest treasury dips, but that has gotten harder to do as the shine has gone off the apple, the dew has gone off the rose. It looks like this will affect the String Theorists and people of that ilk the most, since we see them simply transferring promotion directly from that field to quantum computing, in an almost direct substitution. If the audience will no longer buy strings, maybe they will buy qubits. In fact, that may be why we see people like Eric Weinstein attacking String Theory with such rancor right now: they have been assigned to this project of transfer. I thought it was strange agent Chris Williamson was giving Weinstein four hours at a pop to attack the previously anointed and untouchable String Theory (even sold by Hollywood for years in such movies as *Limitless*), and youtube allowing it with no strikes or takedown, but this begins to explain that. And remember, **Weinstein isn't a physicist, either: he is a hedgefund guy**. I will repeat that for effect: HEDGEFUND.

Regardless of all that, which of course is just speculation, I think this is another mis-step by the big boys. Everything seems to be going south on them, and this looks like a further miscalculation. The last thing they need to be doing is creating these internecine wars among their own people. They may be in love with project chaos, but it should be directed at their enemies, not at the physics department—which has been following orders for over a century.

Plus, for the pump and dump to work at maximum efficiency, all the current investors in AI will have to be fleeced, so how do they plan to get past that? They kill physics to sell quantum computing, then tank that to steal from investors, so then what? More fluoride in the water, so that the investors forget they were duped? I don't see how all these short-term steals and blow-ups lead to longterm prosperity, even for the thieves.

Maybe it doesn't. Maybe this is all being scripted way above CIA, MI6, or even WEF. Maybe this is all being scripted by Loki, who has forgotten more about CHAOS than all of them know together. My guess is all these people are going to wish they had never heard of chaos.

Added October 11: Readers are informing me I missed that the same thing just happened with the Nobel in chemistry, where three phony Google geeks won the prize for the AI tool AlphaFold and other computer modeling on proteins. This is the same conjob, since in order to create these models and predictions, they have to feed current knowledge into the computers, right? Well, [they aren't telling the computers how the charge field is channeling through the nucleus](#), so they can't possibly model these structures in the correct way. Without my theory and nuclear diagrams and recycled charge field, this **computer modeling is impossible**. They are using all the same solid state fudging the physics people are, using fictional particles, fields, and interactions, so this is all the same fairy tale. But because this has to do with proteins, they are also selling it as a possible medical breakthrough, not just an AI breakthrough. That way the Nobel can PUMP Big Pharma at the same time. So it is doubly gruesome, maybe even worse than the physics Nobel, since you can be sure Pfizer and other big evil companies are crouching behind this. See proof below.

One of these new laureates, Demis Hassabis, is like Hinton in that he has no degrees in the field in which he just won. His undergraduate degree was computer science and his PhD was cognitive neuroscience—which again is strange since it seems you would need something other than a computer degree to enter a neuroscience PhD program. Obviously, neuroscience is a combination of biology, chemistry, and medicine, and it has nothing to do with computers. But I guess when you are a rich kid from Cyprus and Cambridge, there are no rules. Your whole life is a bye and a constant promotion. Hassabis' parents are hidden at Wikipedia, always a red flag. He was a video game designer who then created DeepMind and sold it to Google. So we see Google buying the Nobel again as a marketing tool. Hassabis, like Hinton, is pushing the idea we are only a few years away from machines being able to take over the world and wipe us out, and that therefore we need to spend billions on mitigating that danger. Figures.

The other guy who won is David Baker, another Jew of course, his mother a Bourgin, and the big red flag on him is that he comes out of the **Howard Hughes Medical Institute**. That's almost as bad as Pfizer in the current analysis. Actually, it gets worse. Baker has founded several biotech companies, one of which he sold to **AstraZeneca** and the other to **EliLilly**. Are you ill yet? If so, I am sure they have a drug for you.



There is your new Nobel laureate, just so you know.